



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,038	02/27/2002	James R. Komorowski	NUTRI.023A	6775
20995 7590 09/28/2007 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER CHOI, FRANK I	
			ART UNIT 1616	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 09/28/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/090,038

Applicant(s)

KOMOROWSKI ET AL.

Examiner

Frank I. Choi

Art Unit

1616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 7 and 38-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 38-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is allowed in view of the "consisting essentially of" as excluding the administration of bioactive ingredients, other than biotin and chromium, that affect serum HDL, LDL and triglyceride levels (See Remarks (3/15/2007), page 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarty (US Pat. 5,789,401) or McCarty (US Pat. 5,929,066), each in view of de la Harpe et al. (US Pat. 5,948,772), Brand-Miller, Rath (US Pat. 6,693,129), Sears (US Pat. 6,140,304) and Chung.

McCarty (US Pat. 5,789,401) or McCarty (US Pat. 5,929,066) were discussed in the prior Office Actions and the same are incorporated herein.

de la Harpe et al., Brand-Miller were discussed in the prior Office Actions and the same are incorporated herein. Further de la Harpe et al. discloses that chromium controls serum lipids, decreases LDL and increases HDL levels (Column 2, lines 30-38).

Rath discloses a composition containing biotin and chromium glycinate which is effective in lowering LDL and triglycerides which can be administered orally or parenterally, and that those skilled in that art would understand that changes can be made and equivalents substituted and that effective amounts may vary depending on variations in patients, durations of

Art Unit: 1616

treatment, etc. and that modifications may made to adapt a particular situation and composition of matter (Column 5, lines 45-56, Column 6, lines 36-68, Column 7, Column 9, lines 1-33).

Sears discloses that insulin resistance due to hyperinsulinemia is commonly associated with increased glycosation of hemoglobin due to increased serum glucose levels and that hyperinsulinemia is also associated with increased triglycerides, decreased HDL cholesterol levels and elevated percent body fat (Column 12, lines 60-66).

Chung disclose that biotin deficiency causes irregularities of fat metabolism including fatty liver and elevated palmitoleic acid concentration in the liver and cholesterol in the serum (Page 45). It is disclosed that biotin-rich ingredients significantly lowered serum LDL and triglyceride and increased HDL (Page 45).

Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them unpersuasive for the reasons set forth in the prior Office Action and the further reasons below.

The Applicant has argued that there is no causal relation between dyslipidemia and hyperglycemia. However, as indicated above, chromium has been disclosed to increase HDL and biotin-rich ingredients have been shown to increase HDL.

Both McCarty '066 and McCarty '401 disclose the combination of biotin and chromium complex results in synergistic effects (McCarty '066, Column 2, lines 56-65; McCarty '401, Column 2, lines 49-57). Further, it is expected from the prior art that the combination of chromium complex and biotin-rich ingredients would result in increased HDL cholesterol levels. As such, the expectation is not based solely on treatment of an underlying disease. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that anyone would benefit from increased HDL levels.

Art Unit: 1616

The Applicant's argument relative to "consisting essentially of" is well taken, however, only claim 7 which has not been rejected has said preamble. As such, claims 38-54 do not exclude other ingredients that would increase HDL cholesterol levels.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Conclusion


A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (571)272-0610. Examiner maintains a compressed schedule and may be reached Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday, 6:00 am – 4:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Johann R. Richter, can be reached at (571)272-0646. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank Choi
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600
September 24, 2007


Johann R. Richter
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600